United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/005,402 | 12/05/2001 | Alan G. Wood | 2825.10US (90-0051.12) | 1641 | | |
| 24247 | = | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| TRASK BRIT P.O. BOX 255 | | | KARLSEN, | ERNEST F | | |
| SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2829 | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 02/01/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 10/005,402 | | WOOD ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ernest F. Karlsen | 2829 |

| | Ernest F. Karlsen | 2829 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | • | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | moliant Amendment | (DTOL_324) |
| | | impliant Amendment | (F10L-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | timely filed amondma | nt consoling the |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-8</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | |
| 13. Other: | Em | A MKA | R. |
| | an | 50170 | 4 8 1 |
| | ERN PRIM | EST KARLSEN ARY EXAM!NER | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 is considered to raise new issues.

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAM!NER